



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,810	05/10/2001	Brian R. Gareau	00-762	5489	
719	7590 08/17/2	6	EXAMINER		
CATERPIL		MEINECKE DIA	MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M		
PATENT DE	AMS STREET EPT.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PEORIA, IL	616296490	3623			
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/200	DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/852,810	GAREAU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Susanna M. Diaz	3623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date						
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		coled ciairis.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,16-19,23-26,37,39,41 and 42</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>13-15,20-22,27-2</u>	0.24.26.29 and 40					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	9,34-30,36 and 40.					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a			
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1449) Paner N	lo(s)				
13. Other:	(1 10/08/00 011 10-1440) 1 apc/11	susan	16 000			
		Susanna M. Diaz Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3623	a Nug			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments would vary the scope of the pending claims, thereby requiring further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues the claims, as amended, which will not be entered at this time; therefore, Applicant's arguments are currently moot. Additionally, it should be noted that much of Applicant's argument centers around the assertion that the steps of the claimed invention "may be" carried out by a computer using specific equations; however, none of these details is actually claimed, thereby rendering Applicant's arguments non-persuasive as well.